

## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Performance Assessment
Nagongera Subcounty
(Vote Code: 236986)

**Score** 66/100 (66%)

No. Performance Measure

**Scoring Guide** 

**Score Justification** 

Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1

The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards

Maximum score is

2

There was evidence that all the four parishes, though two parishes shared the PDC members except Parish chiefs, in Nagongera sub-county had PDCs duly constituted as per the PDM guidelines. The list of PDCs by parish (Maundo, Namwaya, Okwira and P'Okongo) is attached.

# The composition of PDCs at Nagongera sub-county was as follows:

#### **Maundo Parish**

Owere Nimrod, LC2 Chairperson

Nyapendi Anna, Chairperson Parish Women Council

Awadai Michael, Chairperson Parish Youth Council

Oketcho Michael, Chairperson Parish Disability Council

Ochila James, Chairperson Parish NRM

Onyango Otala, Chairperson Parish Older Persons Council

Nyasule Anne, Parish Chief

#### Namwaya Parish

Odongo Richard, LC2 Chairperson

Nyaganda Norah, Chairperson Parish Women Council

Owori Christopher, Chairperson Parish Youth Council

Omalla Pascari Othieno, Chairperson Parish Disability Council

Owino Peter, Chairperson Parish NRM

Okumu Francis Opiri, Chairperson Parish Older Persons Council

Okello Gerald, Parish Chief

## Okwira Parish

2

Odongo Richard, LC2 Chairperson

Nyaganda Norah, Chairperson Parish Women Council

Owori Christopher, Chairperson Parish Youth Council

Omalla Pascari Othieno, Chairperson

Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all

parishes, score 2, else score 0.

Parish Disability Council

Owino Peter, Chairperson Parish NRM

Okumu Francis Opiri, Chairperson Parish Older Persons Council

Olowo James, Parish Chief

#### P'Okongo Parish

Owere Nimrod, LC2 Chairperson

Nyapendi Anna, Chairperson Parish Women Council

Awadai Michael, Chairperson Parish Youth Council

Oketcho Michael, Chairperson Parish Disability Council

Ochila James, Chairperson Parish NRM

Onyango Otala, Chairperson Parish Older Persons Council

Oketcho Fred, Parish Chief

There was also evidence that the PDCs were functional by holding quarterly meetings in line with what they were supposed to do in their respective parishes. For example, review of the minutes showed that Maundo PDC held meetings on 22/9/2023, 6/12/2023 and 19/6/2024; Namwaya PDC held meetings on 6/8/2023 and 28/9/2023; Okwira PDC held meetings on 29/9/2023, 4/12/2023 and 16/6/2024; and P'Okongo PDC held meetings on 22/9/2023, 6/12/2023 and 19/6/2024 where all PDCs discussed on issues to do with PDM, among other development activities in their parishes.

However, there was no evidence of minutes presented to proof that PDCs appraised all proposals submitted for revolving funds. This was because the appraisal of proposals for the revolving funds was no longer the responsibility of the PDCs but it was the responsibility of the PDM SACCO Loan Committees.

3

LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.

Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed Parish/community data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.

0

2

2

Although all the four parish chiefs of Nagongera sub-county had compiled parish data through PDMIS, there was no evidence of updated data and their analysis disaggregated by village, gender, age and economic activity, among others.

Maximum score is 2

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Evidence that the LLG: Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

Although mapping of NGOs, CBOs and CSOs was done and mapping report of NGOs, CBOs and CSOs produced was on file, there was no evidence, for example, where any of the following NGOs, CBOs and CSOs indicated on the mapping report (UNICEF, Foundation for Open Development, NYETU, Inclusion Uganda, BRAC Uganda Ltd, Child I Foundation, Spotlight Initiatives, UGANET, Covenant Mercies and , NAYOFA) were involved in the raising awareness about PDM and planning cycle.

Maximum score is 6

> Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

> ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score

Although all 4 parishes of Nagongera subcounty did not have parish development action plans for FY 2024/2025, there was evidence of an approved sub-county work plan and budget for FY 2024/25 reflecting parish activities by Council under minute 06/05/2024 in the meeting held on the 9th May 2024.

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

All the 4 parishes had their respective parish priority enterprises, which were as follows: Maundo parish (Cassava, Piggery and Poultry); Namwaya parish (Poultry, Piggery and Cassava); Okwira parish (Piggery, Cassava and Poultry); and P'Okongo parish (Cassava, Piggery and Poultry and Piggery).

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

1

0

1

1

1

Evidence that prioritized

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

The LLG approved development plan III and Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY are aligned them evidence is that maintenance of Popondo Maruki CAR, maintenance of Buwayo - Nagongera CAR, maintenance of Biranga Awinyi CAR, maintenance of Poleecho to Mutunga CAR, and opening & installation of culverts on Maruwa to Namwaya P/S to Father Kicham and opening of Okwira Loli-Okwira P/s road are in the five year development plan pg 90, Budget pg 6 and in the AWP pg 5.

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0. The ranked priorities from parishes not presented during the time of assessment.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0 The budget conference was held on 12/10/2023 and had maintenance of Popondo Maruki CAR, maintenance of Buwayo -Nagongera CAR, maintenance of Biranga Awinyi CAR, maintenance of Poleecho to Mutunga CAR, and opening & installation of culverts on Maruwa to Namwaya P/S to Father Kicham and opening of Okwira Loli-Okwira P/s road.

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the 1 LLG score 1 or else 0

The LLG budget includes investments to be financed by the LLG own revenue eg the provision of a solar panel at shs 900,000 on page 2 of the budget and pg 2 of the AWP.

v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0

The LLG developed the project profiles for maintenance of Popondo Maruki CAR, maintenance of Buwayo -Nagongera CAR, maintenance of Biranga Awinyi CAR, maintenance of Poleecho to Mutunga CAR, and opening & installation of culverts on Maruwa to Namwaya P/S to Father Kicham and opening of Okwira Loli-Okwira P/s road.

vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0 The Approved budget was submitted to the the district on 14/5/2024 and duly signed by the sub county chief and the sub county chairperson.

Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG 2 for the current FY) to the CAO/TC Maximum score is by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0

Procurement plan was submitted for all procurement on 26/4/2024 for procurement of fuel, oil & lubricants, assorted stationery and small office equipment.

Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment

Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and

Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0

Planed Investment or project cost is Shs 16,633,591 out of the total budget of shs 20,791,989 representing 80% hence within the DDEG guidelines.

## Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7

8

LLG collected local revenue as per budget

(Budget realization) Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/-10% of the budget score 1 or else

Maximum score is score 0.

2

OSR Actual x 100

**OSR Total Budget** 

= 17,256,318 /17,256,318 Revised x 100%

= 100%

2

Increase in LLG own source revenues from but one to last financial year.

last financial year Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but

Maximum score 1

one to previous FY by more than 5

%, score 1 or else score 0

(FY 2023/2024 /FY 2022/2023) x 100%

(17,256,318/17981,191) x100%

Therefore a decrease of 4% in revenue collection instead of increase by atleast 5%

9

The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or

0 else score 0.

₱ Did not budget for sharing and
₱
■ Did not b therefore no evidence of OSR remittance to the other administrative units

Maximum score 4

Evidence that the LLG:

ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances 1 in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0

councilor's allowances. (Out of Total OSR Annual Budget of ugx. 17,256,318, only ugx 1,040,000 was spent on councilor's allowances representing 6%

#### Evidence that the LLG:

iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0

₱ Budgeted for OSR and funds used on

■ Property of the p operation & maintenance. (Refer to VR 25/12 and Annual Budget FY 2023/24 on Pg 2 of Expenditure Programme 01: Management and support services.

#### Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

 There is evidence of Publicizing OSR and how it was used for the previous FY as seen on the notice board.

#### **Assessment area:** D. Financial Management

10

The LLG submitted annual financial

statements for the previous FY on time

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or

Maximum score is else score 0

Submitted AFS for previous FY on 29/8/2024 to AG

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

1

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or

else 0

6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and Maximum score is physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

> ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else

Availed a signed copy of PBS Q1 submitted to CAO's office and to other relevant authorities on 06th/10/2023

Availed a signed copy of PBS Q2, submitted to CAO's office on 10th/01/2024 and to other relevant authorities.

Availed a signed copy of PBS Q3, submitted to CAO's office on 12th/04/2024 and to other relevant authorities.

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Availed a signed copy of PBS Q4, submitted to CAO's office on 09th/07/2024 and to other relevant authorities.

Reported PDM funds and how they were spent.

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous 2 FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

LLG appraised LLG staff including extension workers

LLG availed appraisal evidence for four parish chiefs of Pokongo, Okwira, Namwaya and Maundo; CDO, vetinary, and Agriculture Officer care takeing Rubongi Sub County.

Fisheries Officer substantive and assigned Petta.

Submission made on 28/06/2024

Appraisals conducted on the 20th October, 2023

Parish Chiefs in service for three years however still on Probabation.

.

0

0

3

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0 LLG has five schools operating in the LLG

- 1. Pokongo Primary School
- 2. Yona Ökoth
- 3. Maundo Primary School
- 4. Namwaya Primary School
- 5. Okwira Primary School

All schools provided evidence of assessment reports dated 12/12/2023 however they were no Performance agreements on which appraisal were based are attached.

LLG availed appraisal evidence for Namwaya HC II, Maundo HC II; Health Incharges however no appraisal evidence was availed for Pokongo HC II as such not all Health facilities In-Charges of the health facilities operating in the LLG were appraised.

The Appraisals for Namwaya HC II and Maundo HC II were submitted to DHO on 20/06/2024.

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else

All the funds were spent as per the program eg DDEG funds for opening & installation of culverts on Pobondo Maruki road, completion of Oboth Sigondo to Marigwag road and opening of at 100%

Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four): Maximum score is If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

All the projects were completed within the FY eg opening & installation of culverts on Pobondo Maruki road, completion of Oboth Sigondo to Marigwag road were done within the FY.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

16

3

Completion of

investments as

per annual work

plan and budget

The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, 2 prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects,

Maximum score is score 2 or else score 0

2

E&S screening forms were filled for opening of Pobondo Maruki CAR, Loli to Father Kicham CAR, Maintenance of Mutunga CAR, Opening of Okwira P/S CAR, & maintenance of Opandamwara to Panyangere CAR.

18

The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System

2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate Maximum score is response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

The Grievance log book was maintained eg a case of Mr. Owere Joseph reported on 15/4/2024 to CDO that part of his land was encroached during the opening of Pobondo-Maruki road.

The referral part ways pubicised on the Notice Board are Police, CDO, Court,

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

The referral part ways publicised on the Notice Board are Police, CDO, Court,

19 The LLG has a functional land management system

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to Maximum score 1 land, including ascertaining rights

on the land score 1 or else 0

The file for Area Land Committee not presented during the time of assessment.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

1

1

0

20

**Awareness** campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for 3 improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0

LLG conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery.

LLG aailed evidence of stakeholder meeting held on 11/06/2024

#### **Issues discussed**

- 1. Enrollemnt
- 2. Indequate classess

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

If all schools (100%) - score 4

If 80 - 99% - score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

LLG monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:

LLG availed the following evidences

- Second Term dated 11/06/2024 submitted on 11/06/2024 to CAO
- Aspects noted

2

- 1. Attendance of pupils beginign of term
- Third Term dated 25/09/2023 and submitted to CAO on 25/09/2023
- Key aspects noted
- 1. Attendance of pupils

22

Existence and functionality of School

Management Committees

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3,

Maximum score is else score 0

3

LLG does not have functional school management committees in all schools.

LLG did not avail minutes for all schools operating in the LLG;

LLG did not avail Implementation reports

## **Assessment area:** I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health last FY

3

Evidence that the LLG has care conducted in conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care Maximum score is service delivery score 3, else

score 0

LLG conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery

LLG availed satekholder and VHT awareness creation dated 29/09/2023

#### **Issues noted**

3

- 1. Pit latrine faulity
- 2. Drying rack

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

4

Evidence that LLG monitored Maximum score is aspects of health service delivery  $_{0}$ during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY

LLG availed quarterly monitoring reports

Quarter one: 25/09/2023

Ouarter two 15/12/2023

Quarter three dated 29/03/2024

Quarter four dated 21/06/2024

However LLG did not avail HUMC minutes discusing the reports

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit

Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score

0

0

0

Maximum score is 3, else score 0

3

LG does not have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG

### Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of

Maximum score is

3 the current FY score 3, else score

A request letter to CAO for boreholes dated 22/07/2024 and submitted on 23/07/2024

Water request was for 10 villages among which include; Pubondo, Pubondo East among others.

27

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY

Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including

Maximum score is review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0 LLG SAS monitored aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities.

LLG availed report dated 27/06/2024 to subcounty Chairperson in regards to boreholes

Report dated 30/05/2024 entailing functionality of water sources and wter user committees.

28

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score

LLG do not have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions).

LLG did not avail evidence of constituted water and sanitation committees for any water source(s) in the LLG

29

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation

facilities

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status.

Score 2 else 0

LLG SAS does not have an updated lists on all water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) with theri functionality status.

Maximum score is 2

**Assessment area:** L. Production Services Management

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

2

else 0.

If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated Maximum score is land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or

At the time of assessment, one production statistics report from crop extension staff had been submitted to LG Production office on 5/8/2024. However, the report was not comprehensive and lacked analyzed data.

35

**Farmer** awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Maximum score is Office score 2 or else 0

2

0

2

0

There was evidence in form of awareness reports and associated attendance sheets to show that the LLG carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on agriculture. For example, a report submitted to LG Production office on 17/1/2024 indicated that 17 (5 female) farmers were involved in micro-scale irrigation project awareness meetings.

2

36

The LLG has carried out monitorina activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment Maximum score is installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

There was no evidence of all monthly monitoring reports by extension staff to show that monthly monitoring was carried out; and similarly there was no evidence on file of supervision reports by SAS. For example, extension staff had two monitoring reports submitted to LG Production office on 18/4/2024 and 5/8/2024; and SAS had submitted three supervision reports dated 5/10/2023, 8/12/2023 and 28/6/2024.

37

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and Maximum score is field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

There was evidence on file that LLG extension workers such as Owino Zakayo (Assistant Agricultural Officer) and Nyafono Florence (Assistant Animal Husbandry Officer) carried out farmer trainings as per attendance sheets on training reports submitted to LG Production office on 9/5/2024, 15/7/2024 and 5/8/2024.

The LLG has provided handson extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. Maximum score is reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

2

There were field reports on extension support to farmers found on file that were submitted to LG Production office on 18/4/2024 and 5/8/2024.

For filled agricultural extension diaries, MAAIF abolished hard copies of extension diaries and introduced e-extension diaries app in the FY 2022/2023 and in the FY 2023/2024, the app developed a problem whereby it failed to update data to-date.